

Volume 11 (1) 2023, 030 - 037

Jurnal Pelita Pendidikan Journal of Biology Education https://jurnal.unimed.ac.id/2012/index.php/pelita/index eISSN: 2502-3217 pISSN: 2338-3003

A SYSTEMATIC LITERATURE REVIEW: THE IMPACT OF PROJECT-BASED LEARNING MODEL IMPLEMENTATION ON HIGH SCHOOL STUDENTS' LEARNING OUTCOMES ASSESSED THROUGH VOSViewer IN THE GOOGLE SCHOLAR DATABASE

Trustha Cinantya Putri Tulungagung^{*}

Program Studi Magister Pendidikan Biologi, Universitas Negeri Gorontalo Jl. Jend. Sudirman No.6, Dulalowo Timur, Kec. Kota Tengah, Kota Gorontalo, Gorontalo 96128.

*Corresponding Author: ainidjafar23@gmail.com

ARTICLE INFO:	ABSTRACT
Article History	Education involves the dynamic exchange between educators and students
Received December 1 st , 2022	in the instructional context. Conversely, project-based learning represents
Revised July 5 th , 2023	an instructional paradigm that integrates projects into the learning journey,
Accepted August 8 th , 2023	with these projects encompassing both individual and group undertakings.
	Collaboratively pursued within defined temporal boundaries, such projects
Keywords:	culminate in concrete outcomes. This study seeks to investigate the
project-based learning model,	potential impact of project-based learning approaches on the participation
learning outcomes, VosViewer	of secondary school students. The systematic literature review method is
	employed to comprehensively evaluate existing research in this domain. By
	exploring the effects of project-based learning, the study sheds light on its
	role in enhancing student engagement and learning outcomes. This
	investigation contributes to the ongoing discourse on innovative
	pedagogical strategies and their efficacy in the context of modern
	education.
	This is an open access article under the <u>CC–BY-SA</u> license.

How to Cite:

Tulungagung, T.C.P. (2023). A systematic literature review: the impact of project-based learning model implementation on high school students' learning outcomes assessed through VosViewer in the google scholar database. *Jurnal Pelita Pendidikan*, 11(1), 030-037.

INTRODUCTION

Education is often associated with the interactive process between students and educators during the educational endeavor. In a narrow context, education signifies the process of students learning within a school setting as a form of formal education. As stipulated in Article 3 of Law Number 20 of 2003 concerning National Education. "National education, aimed at developing capabilities and shaping character and civilization of a dignified nation to enlighten the nation's life, aims for the development of learners' potentials to become individuals who are faithful and devout to the One Almighty God, possess noble morals, are healthy, knowledgeable, capable, creative, independent, and responsible citizens in a democratic society." Derived from this law, education can be construed as an effort to guide children's growth into responsible adults capable of self-accountability. To assume selfresponsibility, students must learn to cultivate their talents, interests, and social skills in order to apply values within their societal roles.

To nurture these potentials, meaningful learning experiences are crucial for students. According to Davis Ausubel (Asbar & Witarsa, 2020), meaningful learning is a process where new information is linked with an individual's existing cognitive framework. This is evident in the formation of concepts within a student's cognitive structure. To facilitate meaningful learning, instruction must not be arbitrary and irresponsible. Implementing suitable models, approaches, methods, and instructional strategies is expected to actively and innovatively engage students, enhancing the quality of meaningful learning. Through such meaningful learning, students recognize the significance of education, educational objectives, critical thinking skills, and responsiveness in problem-solving.

As stated by Sudrajat (2008), a teaching model emerges when teaching approaches, strategies, methods, techniques, and even tactics are seamlessly integrated. An approach represents the general perspective on the teaching process, forming the backdrop of instruction. Teaching approaches are categorized into two orientations: and student-centered teacher-centered approaches. Meanwhile, instructional strategies (Anitah, 2013) encompass chosen methods for delivering subject matter within a specific learning context, including the nature, scope, and sequence of activities that provide learning experiences to students. Teaching methods are the vehicles used to execute pre-designed plans, while teaching

techniques are specific methods for implementation.

Therefore, a teaching model encapsulates the entirety of the teaching process, from inception to conclusion. According to Jagantara et al. (2014), the teacher-centered instructional model should be promptly abandoned and transformed into an active and independent learning model based on modern cognitive principles, fostering the active and creative role of students (student-centered). This implies that students assume a central role in learning, with the teacher not being the sole dominant source of learning. A model that supports active student participation is Project-Based Learning. Project-Based Learning is an instructional model involving projects within the learning process, which can be individual or group projects executed collaboratively within a specific timeframe, resulting in a final product (Jagantara et al., 2014).

Teaching Models

Teaching models are approaches employed by teachers or educators to establish interactions (interactions) with students during the instructional process (Eliza et al., 2019). Teaching models encompass approaches, strategies, methods, techniques, and tactics. These elements need to be planned and organized based on the subject matter to be taught, objectives, and competencies to be achieved, both during and after the learning process.

Project-Based Learning Model

One goal of the Project-Based Learning (PBL) model is a teaching method that employs projects or activities as mediums (Eliza et al., 2019). Students are required to actively engage in exploration, assessment, or synthesis to produce learning outcomes. The teacher's role, besides being a guide, is that of a facilitator and manager of the learning process involving projects. The project work or activities provide students the space to search for and resolve problems, ultimately leading to the creation of presentable products. As a result, students become more independent in designing, problem-solving, decision-making, and investigative activities.

METHOD

This article employs the Systematic Literature Review (SLR) research method, which constitutes a form of literature review involving the identification, examination, evaluation, and interpretation of the reviewed studies. The author collected articles from Google Scholar using the assistance of the Publish or Perish Software. The approach utilized is the co-occurrence analysis approach to identify relevant articles. The scholarly articles or journals used as data in this study were published within the range of 2018 to 2022, employing the keywords "project-based learning model, learning outcomes, high school."

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Limited Field Test

Students' Creative Thinking Skills

The assessment of students' creative thinking ability test results was conducted in both the limited field trial and the extensive field trial, each carried out during the first to third sessions. Similar to other studies, the analysis of students' creative thinking tests included pretests and posttests for each session.

Based on the analysis of creative thinking skills test results for students in the limited field trial class, which covered sessions 1 to 3, a total of 10 students participated. In the pretest results, students' creative thinking skills aligned with the indicators of creative thinking: fluency at 47.4%, flexibility at 32.5%, originality at 42.5%, and elaboration at 30%. In contrast, in the post-test, students' creative thinking skills according to the indicators were fluency at 80%, flexibility at 65%, originality at 70%, and elaboration at 72.5%. The following graph illustrates the assessment results of the creative thinking skills learning outcome test in the limited field trial.



Figure 1. Graph of the results of the Limited Scale Test Creative Thinking skills assessment

Indicators of creative		Score		Category
thinking	Pre-test	Post-test	N-gain	_
Fluency	47,4	80	0,6	Moderate
Flexibility	32,5	65	0,5	Moderate
Originality	42,5	70	0,4	Moderate
Elaboration	30	72,5	0,7	Moderate

|--|

Based on the data in Figure 1, the test of creative thinking ability is highly significant. This is supported by the statement from Treffinger et al. as cited in Irwandi (2020), which asserts that the creative thinking test generates numerous ideas (fluency), diverse new ideas (flexibility),

uncommon new ideas (originality), and enriches interesting and complex ideas (elaboration). This significant increase is attributed to factors such as the students' enthusiasm in participating in the learning process and the conducive classroom environment that supports active learning. As a result, the scores in the creative thinking test have improved.

Student Response

A student response questionnaire was administered to gather data on students' perceptions during the learning process utilizing the project-based learning model with a STEM approach. The responses were categorized into "YES/NO" answers on the questionnaire, and a total of 10 respondents participated in the limitedscale pilot. The calculated criterion score for student responses was 97, with a Student Response Percentage of 87% in the limited-scale pilot. This score determines the category of student response assessment, placing the overall criterion score for student response within the range of 86-100%, which falls under the "Very Good" category.

N-Gain Analysis Results

The analysis of pre-test and post-test results from students' assessments was used to calculate

the N-Gain values, indicating the improvement in students' creative thinking skills. The N-Gain analysis results are presented in Table 1. The calculated N-Gain values for the fluency indicator were 0.6, for flexibility 0.5, for originality 0.4, and for elaboration 0.7. These values fall within the "moderate" category, indicating that the projectbased learning model with a STEM approach is moderately effective in enhancing students' creative thinking skills. This finding is in line with previous research by Riyanti (2020), which demonstrated that the integration of web-based STEM-oriented PjBL learning tools can moderately enhance students' creative thinking abilities.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The obtained results amount to approximately 980 journals. The author applied a criterion of selecting at least 10 journals with the highest citations for analysis. Subsequently, the VosViewer software was employed to map the outcomes.

File Edit Search Wew	Help																			
My searches	Search	terms			Source	Papers	Cites	Cite:/y	h	9 1	hi,no	hlann	hA.	80C	Search date	Cache date	Las		Citation metrics	
🔠 Trash	V mod	del pembela	elajaran br	erbasis	p., 🕒 Google Sc.,	200	1342	335.50	16	34	12	3.00	9	9	21/10/2022	21/10/2022	0		D.11	
	× 200	m [title]	pembelaja	iran oni	i G Google Sc		đ	0.00	D	0 0	0 0.00	0		0 11/10/2022	11/10/2022	89		Citation years: 4 (2019)		
	×mod	del pernit	elajaran (t	itle), it	u G Google Sc		đ	0.00	D	a	. 0	0.00	0	. 0	11/10/2022	11/10/2022	89		Papers:	
																			Obations:	
																			Cites/year: 3	
																			Authors/paper:	
	Google	- Schola	r search															Help	h-index:	
	Author														Years: 2010	. 2022	Sea	rch.	hi, norme	
	Publical	tion name													109N:		Search	Direct	hi, annual:	
	Title or	note:															Cea	4	Papers with ACC >= 1,2,5,1	
	Verwark: andel resolutions herbeits mouth hard behave adulth seconds data:											87,54,23,9,3								
	Normal Index provide provide provide provide provide Index parts and a second provide Index parts a												Come Dans des 🖉							
	14010100	monoe	or results	0	0 0 0000	PLUM	Jry records	Milwaterice									nev		Copy Paricana -	
Tools	· · · ·	Cites	Recuear.	Bank	Authors	Title			Vea	r Pui	hlication			Publick		Tax		~	Save Results *	
		969	12650		K fairmen 7 filia	Parakalai	was asks	a baabaatir a saa	200		and Real	A. Davidani		ine in	name and ind	440			Paper details	
Preferences		126	21.50	167	AP Supp. SC Bales	Periodical	a condel o	e perpetro pre	201	D he	roal Deco	In Protect		201.4.1	NETCHC/IG				Columbus and in the second of	
	R h	71	17.75	52	KR Wattha	Penceroh	andas e-c	ondul interait	201	D Jun	nal Peor	idizo Teka	olo	elauma	oute Lundiktha.ac.id				(to the left of this pane) to s	
Online User's Manual	MA	67	15.75	166	LMI Futi S Handa	Repetito	en madel	sembelaiaran		l Jur	nal Pene	itian		ipumal	unnetacid				details here.	
equently Asked Questions	Øh	53	53.00	34	Z.Abidin	Efektivita	Pembela	jaran Berbasis		2 Pro	ofeci Per	didikan Das	ar .	journal	Lumiacid					
Training Resources	⊠h	47	11.75	24	YR Tinenti	Model Pe	Model Pembelajaran Berbasis Pro., 2018 books.google		pogle.com	BOOK										
TouTube Channel	12 h	36	9.00	43	SD Sugianto, M.A	Pengemb	angan me	dul IRA berba	201	B Ne	tural Sci	ence		journal	trunoj pyp.ac.id					
Secone a PoP Supporter	12 h	30	10.00	148	F Eliza, S Suriyadi,	Ekzą, S Suriyadi, Peningkatan Kompetensi Psikom 2019 INVOTEK: Jumal Insvasi		iyadi, Peningkatan Kompetensi Psikom 2019 INVOTEK: Jumal Insvasi invotek ppj.unp.ac.id												
	🗹 h	27	9.00	- 4	IN Azizah, DB Widj	Keefektifa	n pembel	ajaran berbasi		9 Jun	nal Riset	Pendidikan	M	journal	unyaciid					
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	🗹 h	27	6.75	5 P	R Isrnail	Perbandi	igan Keefi	ektifan pembe	2011	I Pyt	thagorad			downia	adigaruda.kemi	ik PDF				
and the survey of		25	12.50	-40	S Oksa, S Spenarto	Pangamb	angan e-r	nodul berbasi	- 201	b:	Peneliki	an Inevasi P	em	scholar	uarchiveuorg	PDF				
The second second	⊡ h						anaan E-r	nodul Interski	201	89	Pembele	jaran		ejaurne	I-passa.undiksh	0				
	2 h	20	5.00	67	KR WINATHA	Fingemb														
		20 19	5.00 4.75	67 58	KR WINATHA R Sucilesteri, K Ari	Peningka	tan Kecalo	apan Hidup m	201	B Pro	oriding S	eminar Nesi	ion	jumah	ip.unrem.ac.id				Copy Paper Details 💌	
		20 19 18	5.00 4.75 4.50	87 58 20	KR WINATHA R Sucilesteri, K Ari E Yuni, MDW Ema	Pengemb	ten Kecalo angan len	apan Hidup m nbar kerja pes	201	B Pro B Joa	aniding 1 umal of 1	ierninar Nesi The	ion	jumail mail.or	iip.unram.ac.id Iline-journal.unj	h.h.			Copy Paper Details 💌	
		20 19 18 18	5.00 4.75 4.50 4.50	87 58 20 106	RR WINATHA R Sucilestari, K Ari E Yuni, MDW Ema Q Aini, AD Lesmo	Pengemb Pengemb Hasil Bela	tan Kecaki angan len jar, Minat	apan Hidup m nbar kerja pes Dan Kreativiti	2011 2011	B Pro B Jok B Jun	aniding 1 anial of 1 nal Perri	eminar Nesi The belajaran Fis	ion	jumalA mailar jumalu	rip.unrem.ac.id Iline-journel.unj inej.ac.id	PDF			Copy Poper Details *	
Anna Anna Anna Anna Anna Anna Anna Anna		20 19 18 18 18	5.00 4.75 4.50 4.50 5.30	87 58 20 106 8	KR WINATHA R Sucilestari, K Ari E Yuni, MDW Ema Q Aini, AD Lesmo AS Wulandati, IN	Pengemb Pengemb Hasil Bela Pengaruh	tan Kecaki angan len jar, Minat Model Pe	apan Hidup m nbar kerja pes Dan Kreativiti mbelajaran B	2011 2011 2011 2011	8 Pro 8 Jou 8 Jou 8 Juur 90	aniding S amal of 1 mal Perri Dan Perri	eminar Nesi The belajaran Fis belajaran	ien sika	jumaN mailor jumalu ejauma	rip.unram.ac.id iline-journaturiji inej.ac.id itundiksha.ac.id	PDF			Copy Paper Details *	
And		20 19 18 18 18 16	5.00 4.75 4.50 4.50 5.33 16.00	87 58 20 106 8 79	KR WINATHA R Sucilestari, K Ari E Yuni, MDW Ema Q Aini, AD Lesmo AS Wulandari, IN A Nurhadiyati, R.R	Peningka Peningka Pengemb Hasil Bela Pengaruh Pengaruh	ten Kecaki angan len jar, Minat Model Pe Model Pr	apan Hidup m nbar kerja pes Dan Kreativiti mbelajaran B oject Bared Li	2011 2011 2011 2011	8 Pro 8 Jou 8 Jun 90	oriding S amal of 1 mal Peni Dan Peni mal Basir	leminar Nasi The belajaran Fis belajaran sedu	ion sita	jurnalA mailar jurnala ejaurna jbasic.e	rip.umrem.ac.id iline-journaturij inej.ac.id itundiktha.ac.id ing	PDF			Copy Paper Details	

Figure 1. Article results using Publish or Perish



Figure 2. Mapping Results of VOSViewer Bibliometric Analysis

The following presents the outcomes of the search conducted using the Publish or Perish software, along with the selection of the top 10 most cited journals (Figure 3). It becomes apparent that research related to project-based learning models within the period of 2018-2022 in

the Google Scholar database has shown a gradual decline, with the year 2021 demonstrating the highest count of journals. The subsequent table outlines the results of the journal search categorized by their yearly quantities (Table 1).

File Edit Search View	Help																	
My searches	Search	terms			Source	Papers	Cibes	Citec/y	h	g hi,no	. hl,ann	hA.	80C	Search date	Cache date	Las		Citation metrics
🔄 Trach	V mos	Jel pemb	Jelajaran b	erbasis	p., 🕒 Google Sc.,	200	1342	335.50	16	34 1	3.00	9	9	21/10/2022	21/10/2022	0		Di Alicentres conservo - 2010-20
	× 200	n [title]	pembelaja	mbelajaran onli	i Ġ Google Sc	0	đ	0.00	D	đ	0.00	0		11/10/2022	11/10/2022	89		Chation years: 4 (2010-20
	×moo	lel pernit	relajaran (t	itle], rt	u G Google Sc	•	a	0.00	D	a	0 0.00	D	0	11/10/2022	11/10/2022	83		Popers: 2 Obtions: 31 Obtions: 335 Obtions: 335 Obtions: 6 Obtions: 6
	Google	Scholar	rsearch														Help	h-index: g-index:
	Author	E												Years: 2008	· 2022	500	arch	hi, norme
	Publicat	ton name												155N:		Search	h Direct	h4-index: 33
	Tible words:											Clea	e Al	Papers with ACC >= 1,2,5,10,2				
	Keywords: model pendelajaran barbasis proyek, hasil balajar, selolah managah atas Berest													87,54,23,9,3				
	Maxim	n numbe	r of results	: 20	0 v Include:		W records	Patents								Nev	- -	Copy Results 💌
Toals	~					100-1												Save Results 🗢
	- L	CING	Paryar	nank	Authori	11236			110	PUBBOR	san		Publich	w	994			Parer details
Preferences		101	31.50	167	AP Super St Balm	Pendeng	aran onan model n	e Derbaus pro-	2011	Jurnel B	man Protein		202.4.1	remacuid Déréé				minute and the set of
	2 h	71	17.75	52	KR Winatha	Pencemb	engen e-r	nodul interakt.	2018	Jurnal P	endidikan Tek	nela	eigum	alundiktha.ac.it	6			(to the left of this pane) to see
Online User's Manual	12 h	6	15.75	166	LMI Furi, S Handa	Bugerime	n model	sembelaiaran.	2018	Jurnal P	enelitian		isumal	unner ac.id				details here.
requently Asked Questions	⊠ h	53	53.00	34	Z.Abidin	Efektivitas	Pembela	jaran Berbasis	2022	Profesi	Pendidikan Da	dar.	journal	Is ums acid				
Training Resources	🗹 h	47	11.75	24	VR Tinenti	Model Pe	mbelajara	n Berbasis Pro.	. 2011				books.	google.com	8006			
TouTube Channel	⊠ h	36	9.00	-43	SD Sugianto, M.A	Pangamb	angan me	dul IRA berba.	. 2016	Natural	Science		journal	Ltrunoj eye.ac.id	1			
Become a PoP Supporter	⊠h	30	10.00	148	F Elize, S Suriyedi,	Peningkatan Kompetensi Psikom			2015	INVOTE	S Jurnel Incove	ai	invotek	k.ppj.unp.ac.id				
	⊠ h	27	9.00	4	IN Azizah, DB Widj	Keefektife	n pembel	ajaran berbasi.	. 2015	Jurnal R	iset Pendidika	n M	journal	Luny.ac.id				
A COMPANY OF A DESCRIPTION OF A DESCRIPR		27	6.75	59	R Ismail	Perbandin	igan Keefi	ektifan pembe.	- 2016	Pythege	1955		downia	rad.garuda.kem	dik PDF			
A DECEMBER OF THE OWNER OF	E U				S Okra, S Spenarto	Pengemb	angan e-r	nodul berbasi.	. 2020	:Pen	litian Inovasi i	Pen_	schole	r.archive.org	PDF			
and the second	⊠ h	25	12.50	44	o or or other states						a baixana a		al a second	al-many a condition				
		25 20	12.50	87	KR WINATHA	Pengemb	angan E-r	nodul Interakt.	- 2011		anjaran		ejaum		ha			
		25 20 19	12.50 5.00 4.75	87 58	KR WINATHA R Sucilectori, K Ari	Pangemb Paningkal	angan E-r tan Kecalo	nodul Interakt. apan Hidup m.	. 2018	Prosidir	g Servinar Na	oion	jumaB	kip.unnem.ec.id	ha			Copy Paper Details 💌
		25 20 19 18	12.50 5.00 4.75 4.50	87 58 20	KR WINATHA R Sucilectori, K Ari E Yuni, MDW Eme	Pangamb Paningkat Pangamb	angan E-r tan Kecak angan len	nodul Interakt. apan Hidup m. nbar kerja pes.	2018 2018	Prosidir Journal	g Seminar Na of The	oion	jurnali mail.or	kip.unnem.ec.id nine-journet.un	jaa.			Copy Paper Details 👻
		25 20 19 18 18	12:50 5:00 4:75 4:50 4:50	87 58 20 106	KR WINATHA R Sucilotari, K Ari E Yuni, MDW Ema Q Aini, AD Leimo	Pangamb Paningka Pangamb Hasil Bela	angan E-r tan Kecalo angan len jar, Minat	nodul Interakt. Ipan Hidup m. Ibar kerja pes. Dan Kreativita	2018 2018 2018 2018	Providir Journal Jurnal P	g Seminar Na of The embelajaran F	oion Isika	jurnali mail.or jurnali	kip.umamuac.id nime-journaturn unej.ac.id	ja.a PDF			Copy Paper Details 💌
		25 20 19 18 18 18	12:50 5:00 4:75 4:50 4:50 5:33	87 58 20 106 8	KR WINATHA R Sucilectori, K.Ari E Yuni, MDW Ema Q Aini, AD Lermo AS Wulandari, IN	Pangamb Paningkat Pangemb Hasil Bela Pangaruh	angan E-r tan Kecalo angan len jar, Minat Model Pe	nodul Interakt. apan Hidup m. nbar kerja pes. Dan Kreativita mbelajaran B.	2018 2018 2018 2018 2018	Prosidir Journal Jurnal P Dan P	g Seminar Na of The embelajaran F 'embelajaran .	oion Isika	jumaB mailar jumala ejaum	kip.ummmunciid niine-jaurnetum unej.ac.id atundiksha.ac.ii	ja.a., PDF			Copy Paper Details 💌

Figure 3. Search Results after Being Sorted by Highest Citations

Table 1. Journal	Search Results	Based on	Quantity	/ Each	Year
			~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~		

Year of Publication	Number of articles	Percentage
2018	48	24%
2019	46	23%
2020	35	17,5%
2021	50	25%
2022	20	10%



## Year of publication

Figure 4. Research Interest in Learning Outcomes of Project-Based Learning Models in High Schools

Table	e 2. Scholarly J	ournals Ranked by Citatio	n Count from Highest to Lowest, f	rom 201	8 to 2022
No.	Number of	Author	Title	Year	Journal
	citations				
1	353	Arizona, K, Abidin, Z, & Rumansyah, R (Arizona et al., 2020)	Pembelajaran online berbasis proyek salah satu solusi kegiatan belajar mengajar di tengah pandemi covid-19.	2020	Jurnal Ilmiah Profesi
2	126	Surya, AP, Relmasira, SC, & Hardini (Surya et al., 2018)	Penerapan model pembelajaran project-based learning (PjBL) untuk meningkatkan hasil belajar dan kreatifitas siswa	2018	
3	71	KR Winatha (Winatha et al., 2018)	Pengembangan e-modul interaktif berbasis proyek mata pelajaran simulasi digital	2018	Jurnal Pendidikan Teknologi Dan Kejuruan
4	63	LMI Furi, S Handayani (Meita et al., 2018)	Eksperimen model pembelajaran project-based learning dan project-based learning terintegrasi stem untuk mengingkatkan hasil belajar dan kreativitas siswa	2018	Jurnal Penelitian Unnes
5	53	Z Abidin (2022) (Abidin, 2020)	Efektivitas Pembelajaran Berbasis Masalah, Pembelajaran Berbasis Proyek Literasi, dan Pembelajaran Inkuiri dalam Meningkatkan Kemampuan Koneksi Matematis	2022	
6	47	YR Tinenti (Yanti Rosinda Tinenti, 2021)	Model Pembelajaran Berbasis Proyek (PBP) dan Penerapannya dalam Proses Pemelajaran di Kelas	2018	
7	36	SD Sugianto, M Ahied, WP Hadi  (Sugianto et al., 2018)	Pengembangan modul IPA berbasis proyek terintegrasi STEM pada materi tekanan	2018	
8	30	F Eliza, S Suriyadi, DTP Yanto	Peningkatan Kompetensi Psikomotor Siswa Melalui	2019	INVOTEK: Jurnal Inovasi

Model Pembelajaran Project

(Eliza et al., 2019)

			Based Learning (PjBL) di SMKN 5 Padang		
9	27	IN Azizah, DB Widjajanti (2019) (Azizah & Widjajanti, 2019)	Keefektifan pembelajaran berbasis proyek ditinjau dari prestasi belajar, kemampuan berpikir kritis, dan kepercayaan diri siswa	2019	Jurnal Riset Pendidikan Matematika, journal.uny.ac.i d
10	27	R Ismail 2018 (Ismail, 2018)	Perbandingan Keefektifan pembelajaran berbasis proyek dan pembelajaran berbasis masalah ditinjau dari ketercapaian tujuan pembelajaran	2018	Pythagoras, download.garu da.kemdikbud.g o.id

The data processing of the 10 articles selected as literature sources using VOSViewer reveals 5 interrelated keywords organized into 6 distinct clusters. The visualization results from

VOSViewer indicate that among all the utilized literature, the keyword "project-based" dominates, interlinking with other keywords such as model, project-based learning, and high school.



Figure 5. Density Visualization of VOSViewer

## CONCLUSION

The research results indicate the quality of the products generated based on the effectiveness aspects of the project-based learning model with a STEM approach, as demonstrated by the percentage of N-Gain values showing an average increase in creative thinking skills falling within the range of 0.3 < g < 0.7, which falls under the moderate category. Meanwhile, the participants' responses through the questionnaire yielded an average score of 87% for the limited trial and an improved average score of 85.8% for the extensive trial. This suggests that the students have shown a positive response to the learning process. Based on the research findings, it can be concluded that the development of the instructional approach using the project-based learning model with a STEM approach has been effective in enhancing the creative thinking skills of the students.

## REFERENCES

Abidin, Z. (2020). Efektivitas Pembelajaran Berbasis Masalah, Pembelajaran Berbasis Proyek Literasi, Dan Pembelajaran Inkuiri Dalam Meningkatkan Kemampuan Koneksi Matematis. Profesi Pendidikan Dasar, 7(1), 37–52.

https://doi.org/10.23917/ppd.v7i1.10736

Anitah, S. (2013). Strategi Pembelajaran. In Strategi Pembelajaran (Vol. 2, Issue 2).

- Arizona, K., Abidin, Z., & Rumansyah, R. (2020). Pembelajaran Online Berbasis Proyek Salah Satu Solusi Kegiatan Belajar Mengajar Di Tengah Pandemi Covid-19. Jurnal Ilmiah Profesi Pendidikan, 5(1), 64–70. https://doi.org/10.29303/jipp.v5i1.111
- Asbar, R. F., & Witarsa, R. (2020). KAJIAN LITERATUR TENTANG PENERAPAN PEMBELAJARAN TERPADU DISEKOLAH DASAR. News.Ge, 3, https://news.ge/anakliis-porti-aris-qveynismomava. https://journal.universitaspahlawan.ac.id/in dex.php/jrpp/article/view/1220/964
- Azizah, I. N., & Widjajanti, D. B. (2019). Keefektifan pembelajaran berbasis proyek ditinjau dari prestasi belajar, kemampuan berpikir kritis, dan kepercayaan diri siswa. Jurnal Riset Pendidikan Matematika, 6(2), 233–243. https://doi.org/10.21831/jrpm.v6i2.15927
- Eliza, F., Suriyadi, S., & Yanto, D. T. P. (2019). Peningkatan Kompetensi Psikomotor Siswa Melalui Model Pembelajaran Project Based Learning (PjBL) di SMKN 5 Padang. INVOTEK: Jurnal Inovasi Vokasional Dan Teknologi, 19(2), 57–66.

https://doi.org/10.24036/invotek.v19i2.427

- Ismail, R. (2018). Perbandingan keefektifan pembelajaran berbasis proyek dan pembelajaran berbasis masalah ditinjau dari ketercapaian tujuan pembelajaran. Pythagoras: Jurnal Pendidikan Matematika, 13(2), 181–188. https://doi.org/10.21831/pg.v13i2.23595
- Jagantara, I. W. M., Adnyana, P. B., & Widiyanti, N. P. (2014). Pengaruh Model Pembelajaran Berbasis Proyek (Project Based Learning) Terhadap Hasil Belajar Biologi Ditinjau Dari Gaya Belajar Siswa SMA. E-Journal Program Pascasarjana Universitas Pendidikan Ganesha Program Studi IPA, 4(1), 1–13.
- Meita, L., Furi, I., Handayani, S., & Maharani, S. (2018). Eksperimen Model Pembelajaran Project Based Learning Dan Project Based Learning Terintegrasi Stem Untuk Mengingkatkan Hasil Belajar Dan Kreativitas Siswa Pada Kompetensi Dasar Teknologi Pengolahan Susu. Jurnal Penelitian Pendidikan, 49-60-60. 35(1), https://doi.org/10.15294/jpp.v35i1.13886
- Sudrajat, A. (2008). Pengertian pendekatan, strategi, metode, teknik, taktik, dan model pembelajaran. Tersedia: Http://Akhmadsudrajat. Wordpress. Com/2008/09/12/Pengertian-Pendekatan-Strategi-Metode-Tekniktaktik-Dan-Model-Pembelajaran/.[20 Oktober 2008], 1.

- Sugianto, S. D., Ahied, M., Hadi, W. P., & Wulandari, A. Y. R. (2018). Pengembangan Modul Ipa Berbasis Proyek Terintegrasi Stem Pada Materi Tekanan. Natural Science Education Research, 1(1), 28–39. https://doi.org/10.21107/nser.v1i1.4171
- Surya, A. P., Relmasira, S. C., & Hardini, A. T. A. (2018). PENERAPAN MODEL PEMBELAJARAN PROJECT BASED LEARNING (PjBL) UNTUK MENINGKATKAN HASIL BELAJAR DAN KREATIFITAS SISWA KELAS III SD NEGERI SIDOREJO LOR 01 SALATIGA. Jurnal Pesona Dasar, 6(1), 41–54. https://doi.org/10.24815/pear.v6i1.10703
- Winatha, K. R., Suharsono, N., & Agustin, K. (2018). Pengembangan E-Modul Interaktif Berbasis Proyek Matematika. Jurnal Pendidikan Teknologi Dan Kejuruan, 4(2), 188–199. https://ejournal.undiksha.ac.id/index.php/JP TK/article/viewFile/14021/9438
- Yanti Rosinda Tinenti. (2021). Model Pembelajaran Berbasis Proyek (PBP) dan Penerapannya dalam Proses Pembelajaran kelas. September.